The GENERATION of new elements inside living cells, either by nuclear fusion or nuclear fission is questionable. Nuclear fusion theory can interpret the increase of an element or reduction of another one following the dissociation of the atomic nucleus of some elements and recoordination of new integrated nuclei, which surrounded by electrons to neutralize protons of nucleus. To proof our hypothesis, in vitro microcosm investigations were carried out using two microbial stains (Bacillus amyloliquefaciens MN592674B and Escherichia coli), which spiked with seven trace elements (Ti, V, Co, Ni, Se, Mo, and B) in a closed system. Total trace element concentrations were determined using Inductivity Coupled Plasma Optical Emission Spectrometry (ICP-OES) at the end of inoculation experiment. Some trace elements (e.g. Se, V and Ni) showed increments, others (e.g. Ti and B) showed reductions; however, some trace elements such as Ag, B and Cd vanished completely from the microbial media. The addition of V, Co, Ni, Se, Mo and B to B. amyloliquefaciens culture medium enhanced Bismuth (Bi) bioforming. This finding was also supported by Energy Eispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS) data, which showed substantial alterations in metals distribution in the outersphere surfaces as affected by the microbial strain and the spiked elements. In this regard, trace elements transformation differed greatly among bacterial strains. Findings from this investigation provide insights for understanding elemental transformations in the living cells. Further studies are urgent and needed for more insights concerning this vital theme.
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Introduction

The law of mass conservation is very important for understanding chemical reactions occurred in the earth. This traditional law states that the quantity of matter in the system remains constant over time in any system that is closed to the transference of matter and energy (in and out). A brief way to explain this law is to say that the quantity of matter in the system is preserved (Poulsen, 2010). It is well known that the law of conservation of mass assumes that “mass not being produced or lost in chemical reactions”. This means that the mass cannot be disappeared in chemical reaction but it can be transformed into another form. Consequently, a question has arisen surrounding the behavior of elements inside the living cells: Does the behavior of elements inside the living cells including presence, disappearance, increasing and reduction follow this law?

Cells are very similar to the sophisticated factories as the cell divisions and their labor are quite similar to that found in these factories. Signs on that, all factories have their own external walls to provide the first protection, and other internal walls that provide a separated work area. Cells have some kinds of production lines for assimilates transfer and special managerial departments to decide the synthesis of special products. Additionally, they have a processing department for wrapping/packaging and shipping. During the manufacturing process, the cell has a communication system to order/deliver the components it needs and power supplies to provide the energy for the complicated processes inside cells (Waters and Bassler, 2005).

Living cells are able to perform complicated reactions similar to the most sophisticated factories. For sessence, microorganisms are capable of conducting complex reactions close to the most sophisticated factories. For example, certain bacteria (e.g., *Rhizobia, Bradyrhizobia,* and *Azotobacter*) can fix the atmospheric nitrogen whether symbiotically or non-symbiotically in the same way as the most complicated nitrogen fertilizers reactors (Gage 2004). Furthermore, these microorganisms have a high ability to produce enzymes, hormones, antibiotics, organic acids, and other active compounds, which are difficult to be synthesized using sophisticated reactors (Cao et al. 2020 and Pham et al. 2019). The use of microorganisms, especially bacteria, in the production of natural products has been newly headed as it is easy to manipulate, highly productive, availability of genetic tools, and deep knowledge of its physiology (Pham et al., 2019). Cell factory design performance and effective transition from laboratory to large-scale commercial production relies on a multi-scale workflow that integrates many experimental and computational capabilities during both up and down stream processes (Gustavsson and Lee, 2016). Progress with many of these capabilities in recent years has raised realistic prospects for a wide deployment of bio-production for a wide spectrum of chemicals.

The inoculation of microbial organisms is able to produce hormones and antioxidants (El-Ghamry et al. 2019). In addition, microbial inoculation is able to provide several biological processes (nitrogen fixation, increasing the availability of macro- and micro-nutrients, and decomposition of organic materials). When organisms exist either in nature or added as bio-fertilizer, they are capable of turning a large part of the elements of the case of nanoparticles. Hence, the importance of living organisms in the soils also comes from their ability to transform the original form of elements into the nano form. The bioreactor is the cornerstone of every biochemical process for the production of a broad variety of useful biological products by using enzymes, mammalian, microbial or plant cell systems. In the particular cell system, a well-built bioreactor is mainly designed to provide a regulated environment to maximize cellular growth and/or product formation (Najafpour, 2007).

Microorganisms are the oldest creature existed on earth since for around 3.5 billion years. Microorganisms are evolving complicated relationships together with humans since the start of human life on the earth (around six million years) (Faust et al. 2012 and Dill-McFarland et al. 2019). For instance, it has been considered that there are about 100 trillion cells harbored by the human body. In fact, the human body is a home of trillions of bacteria, archaea, fungi, and viruses. These microbes belong to different collective communities called “microbiome” (Ursell et al. 2012). The human microbiome has a diverse genetic constitution. The presence of microbiome in human life is responsible for immunity and the functional entity that influences metabolism and drug interactions modulation. Microbiome protects the human body (e.g., skin, respiratory system, and digestive gut) from several potential diseases (Grice and Segre, 2012). More recently, it was reported that microbiome has a potential for explaining disparity of COVID 19 mortality (Kumar and Chander 2020).
Humans and microbes rely on these interactions to grow and stay healthy and there are several species of microbiomecoexisted in human body to maximize its induced immunity (Grice and Segre 2012; Dethlefsen et al. 2007; Kumar and Chordia 2017). Prokaryotes have been present on Earth for at least 3.5 billion years and appear to be the most productive life-form based on total abundance and metabolic velocity. During that period, there were 92 naturally occurring ionic elements that microbes could have the ability to interact with (Ball, 2002); the transuranic elements are generated largely through anthropogenic processes of nuclear reactions, and most of them have short lifespan. Furthermore, new biological requirements for specific elements such as Bismuth, Cadmium, Barium, Strontium and Boron are likely to be found based on the relatively recent results. With regard to microbial genomics, the new genes in newly sequenced microbes can do more than encode another phosphatase or kinase, but it can be used instead in new and wonderful environmental interactions to human, but is known to prokaryotes for many years (Fig. 1; Wackett et al. 2004).

Nuclear fusion and nuclear fission were also evidenced to generate new elements to complete the periodic table although the final list of periodic Table is contested by some. In an experiment at SHIP, GSI Darmstadt, the new element “111” was created and it was unambiguously identified. Three nuclei of the isotope$^{277}111$ were observed in irradiations of $^{208}$Bi targets with $^{60}$Ni projectiles of 318 MeV and 320 MeV energy. In addition, in cold fusion reactions, the new elements of Z=107 to 112 were synthesized based on bismuth and lead targets. The key physical concepts are presented that led to the application of this type of reaction in research experiments for creation of new elements (Hofmann et al., 1995; Hofmann et al. 1996; Hofmann 2011). Consequently, it worth noting that bacteria as a living organism occupy the earth and the elements are the constitutions of this earth. Given the fact that the cell is the smallest unit of the living organisms and the atom is the smallest unit of the element and the living organisms need elements for its life, there must be a strong relation between the cell and the element (Schröder et al. 2008; Bischof and Del Giudice 2013 and Smajl, 2015).


Fig. 1. Periodic elementary representations many include: (A) traditional Table with linear rows and columns; for clarity of presentation, the lanthanide and actinide elements present in the online edition were omitted and (B) spiral description of the elements clustering elements common in the biological pathways (Wackett et al. 2004)
The main aims of this research are to (i) explain the role of the cell in the synthesis of elements through other elements, (ii) identify that the cell has a bioreactor works as nuclear reactor, (iii) proof that the change of nuclei in atoms not only occurs through fission or fusion; however, there is another method depends on the nuclear adhesion to create the nuclei of new elements, (vi) proof that the cell alters in its synthetases among different cells and organisms as the cell according to its exact needs, (V) proof that the addition of trace elements has auxiliary roles in changing the elements as catalyst, and (iv) proof that the cell synthetases is not easy to be created because the cell uses a mixture of elements in different sources (fission, fusion and adhesion elements).

Materials and Methods

To elucidate the aforementioned aims of this study, the experimental study was carried out in the experimental labs of Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University: (i) Soil Fertility and Fertilizers Quality Control (accredited Lab under ISO 17025), (ii) Electron Microscopy Unit, (iii) Agricultural Microbiology Lab (vi) and Agricultural Chemistry Lab.

Microorganisms used in this study

Bacillus amyloliquefaciens MN592674B was obtained from Botany Department, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt. Escherichia coli was obtained from Microbiology Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Mansoura University, Egypt.

Microbial environment: composition and its growth

Agar slants were inoculated with the tested bacterial strains (B. amyloliquefaciens MN592674B and E. coli) and incubated at 30°C for 24 h. The growth on the agar slants was scraped, using 5 ml sterilized distilled water, then transferred to a flask containing 100 ml of Nutrient broth medium (Oxoid, UK), which has the following composition (1.0 g Lab-Lemco powder, 5.0 g sodium chloride, 5.0 g peptone, 2.0 g yeast extract @ pH 7.4±0.2 and 25°C) and incubated for 24 h on a rotary shaker operating at 150 rpm at 30°C. One ml (1×10^6cfu ml^-1) of 24 hours old liquid cultures of bacterial strains was transferred to each flask containing 100 ml of the above medium, which containing 5 mgL^-1 of titanium (Ti), vanadium (V), cobalt (Co), nickel (Ni), selenium (Se), molybdenum (Mo) and boron (B), then the flasks were incubated on a rotary shaker operating at 150 rpm at 30°C for 48 h. The experiment was conducted in triplicate. All trace elements were spiked in their mineral forms (TiO_2, V_2O_5, CoO, NiO, SeO_2, MoO_3 and B_2O_3) for Ti, V, Co, Ni, Se, Mo, and B, respectively) at the concentration of 5.0 mg L^-1. The bacterial growth in the culture media was measured as optical density at wave length of 600 nm. The contents of the flasks were centrifuged at 4000 rpm for 20 min at 4°C to investigate the surface elemental distribution of elements onto the solid phase. Thereafter, the liquid phase was acid digested using aqua regia solution (HCl-HNO_3 mixture, 3:1 v/v) in a microwave digestion unit (Milestone MLS 1200 Mega) for elemental determination of by ICP-OES. The total concentrations of nitrogen (N) and carbon (C) were measured using dry combustion method by a Thermo Scientific Flash 2000 elemental analyzer. Concentrations of inorganic elements were determined using an Inductivity Coupled Plasma-Optical Emission Spectroscopy (Thermo Scientific TMicAPTM 7000 Plus Series ICP-OES). The surface elemental analysis was performed using an energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy or EDS (Oxford X-Max 20).

All laboratory experimentations were carried out under constant temperature (25 ±0.5 °C) with appropriate replications, controls and blanks. Chemical reagents (LobaChemie Pvt. Ltd) were used without additional purification and the chemical solutions were prepared using deionized water (18.2 MΩ) (Nanopure water, Barnstead). Organic elements determination was optimized using aspartic acid standard and inorganic elements determination was optimized verified by standard calibration solutions.

Results and Discussion

The history of atom and its evolution

History of Atomic Theory started from 400-350 BC Greek Philosophers’ Views when Democritus 400 BC states “The matter consists of the small particles known as atoms; these atoms cannot be further divided” (Albanese and Vinceneti, 1997). Whereas, Aristotle 350 BC states “Matter is made of earth, fire, water and air. It can always be broken down into smaller parts” (Dekker 2016). Dalton’s Modern Atomic Theory hypothesized in 1803 that (i) matter consists of indivisible and indestructible atoms, (ii) element atoms are identical, (iii) atoms of the various elements have varying chemical characteristics.
and weights; atoms of the various elements combine to form compounds, and (v) atoms can not be produced or damaged, which later proved to be a wrong theory (De Laeter et al. 2003). In the late nineteenth and early twentieth century (1897-1940), the electron has been discovered by J. J. Thomson in 1904 (Fig. 2) by deflecting the stream of rays from the negatively charged plate and towards the positively charged plate. He stated that these rays were electrons carrying negative charges, and then assumed his atomic model of “Plum Pudding”. He believed that the electrons were uniformly mixed between an atom’s positive charges as the plums were mixed in the plum pudding (Retsky, 2003).

The scientific groups (Protons & discovered, Ernest Rutherford and Ernest Marsden & Hans Geiger) (Fig. 2) showed the wrong hypothesis of Thomson’s Plum Pudding model in 1911. Protons and nucleus were discovered through shooting positively charged alpha particles on a thin sheet of gold (Romer, 1997).

The majority of the particles were transferred, but some were deviated backwards and sideways. Rutherford considered the bulk of the atom is empty space and that the protons are positive particles, situated in the middle of the atom in a dense nucleus (Lenshof and Laurell, 2010). Niels Bohr developed his model (Bohr Model of the Atom), which revealed the electrons surrounding the nucleus in separate levels of energy, much as the planets orbit the sun in 1913.

Fig. 2. The most famous scientists in the field of evolution of the atom history.
This model describes the spectrum of hydrogen atomic emissions, but has not clarified the spectra of emissions of other elements (Kelly and Palumbo, 1973; Tanner et al. 2000). Thereafter, between 1924-1928 the Quantum Model of the Atom was proposed by Erwin Schroedinger, Werner Heisenberg and Louis De-Broglie; separately, and they assumed that electrons had wave-like properties and circulated around the nucleus inside complicated regions called orbitals. This theory remains valid nearly 100 years later (Ireson 2000 and Kirilyuk, 2001).

James Chadwick proposed that the core of an atom contained an uncharged particle, which called the neutron in mid-1932. He also reported that there were neutral particles present in the nucleus with approximately the same mass called protons. This discover proved the wrong hypothesis of Dalton’s 2nd Law that all atoms are identical to the same element. In view of this, he also hypothesized that isotopes of an element are atoms with different numbers of neutrons but the same number of protons. He shot a thin beryllium foil with alpha particles emitted by polonium, and he discovered that the beryllium emitted secondary radiation that resented neither an electric field nor a magnetic field (Horrobin, 1971; Schmidt et al., 2003; Khazan, 2007). In the same year (1932), John Cockcroft and Ernest Walton shot atoms of lithium with protons and divided them into two atoms of helium. This finding opposed Dalton’s Fifth Law that atoms can not be split, destroyed or created (Matthews, 2014).

Bacterial growth and bio-availability of trace elements

As illustrated in Table 1, although the obtained results showed that the conversion of high phosphorus amounts, cobalt not only supports in avoiding the conversion of phosphate but it also increased its rate, which positively increased the growth of bacteria. In addition, Ti, Se and B elements showed elevated levels. However, Ni, V, Mo showed significant effect on the conversion of phosphorus into other elements, which might be beneficial to the bacterial growth such as Mo or hazard elements such as Se, V and Ni and some elements were vanished and were not detected during ICP-OES determination (e.g. Ag, B and Cd). This noticeable alteration in elements concentration is occurred precisely according to the cell needs. Moreover, it could be recognized that the trace elements play key-roles as catalytic agents. In this regards, it has been shown that the addition of V, Co, Ni, Se, Mo, and B to B. amyloliquefaciens culture medium enhanced Bi bio-synthesis. It is expected that the final concentrations of elements will not be altered compared to the initial concentration as the experiment was carried out in a closed system according to the law of energy conservation. These results may be attributed to the occurrence of nuclear adhesion, and the element will not be detectable by ICP-OES. Also, it is expected that the change of ambient conditions of the cell i.e; temperature, aeration, light and other factors support in the synthesis of new elements and this hypothesis needs further research.

EDS spectra mapping in the solid phase of the media

A representative image of trace elements with EDS analysis from B. amyloliquefaciens. Spectra on the left and right represent EDS spectra on and off the granule, respectively. The elemental distribution on the outersphere surfaces are illustrated in Fig. 3. In general, O, Na and Mg were the most dominant elements in most cases. Carbon was the dominant element when B. amyloliquefaciens spiked with Ti and Ni (Fig. 4). Substantial alterations in elements concentration were recorded taking into consideration the data of EDS. Some elements (e.g., Bi) vanished completely in both media (Fig. 5), which might be considered as a raw element for generating other elements. On the other hand, some elements (e.g., Ca and Mg) showed multiplications with both media. Other elements (e.g., Al, Hg and Cu) showed noticeable reductions. Meanwhile, it is clearly noticeable that Cd concentration was constant in all cases (0.05 μg g⁻¹). It is noticed that there is substantial alterations in elements synthesis and its concentration when trace elements were added as catalysts.

It is expected that each organism has a pathway for elements conversion according to its requirement, and cells differ in its conversion ratios. This finding supports our hypothesis that the live cell can synthesis its required elements through nuclear fission into two nucleuses, which could not be equal. Or through merging of two nucleuses through adhesion from one element or different elements according to the exact needs (Fig. 6). If we imagine that the living cell in the organisms is looks like the atom in the elements, the potential adhesion between two living cells or nuclei and merging of cytoplasm surrounded by electrons.
### TABLE 1. Changing rate in concentration of elements (mg L⁻¹) in bacterial growth medium and the catalytic role of trace elements (the initial concentration of elements was 5 mg L⁻¹)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Elements</th>
<th>Medium (M)</th>
<th>M + Bs</th>
<th>M + Bs + Ti</th>
<th>M + Bs + V</th>
<th>M + Bs + Co</th>
<th>M + Bs + Ni</th>
<th>M + Bs + Se</th>
<th>M + Bs + Mo</th>
<th>M + Bs + B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.664</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td>3.727</td>
<td>-0.032</td>
<td>0.232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>21.78</td>
<td>17.56</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>16.52</td>
<td>16.26</td>
<td>20.48</td>
<td>18.44</td>
<td>15.68</td>
<td>16.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>451.43</td>
<td>225.0</td>
<td>402.69</td>
<td>191.2</td>
<td>326.2</td>
<td>264.8</td>
<td>343.3</td>
<td>489.0</td>
<td>329.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bi</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>1.145</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>1.395</td>
<td>0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>1.791</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.509</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>0.497</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.843</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.314</td>
<td>0.133</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.033</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>1.220</td>
<td>1.134</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.533</td>
<td>0.357</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.285</td>
<td>0.636</td>
<td>0.309</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>435.9</td>
<td>363.6</td>
<td>174.8</td>
<td>325.1</td>
<td>180.0</td>
<td>175.3</td>
<td>135.6</td>
<td>151.6</td>
<td>168.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>1.909</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.049</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>3.113</td>
<td>3.34</td>
<td>2.399</td>
<td>2.372</td>
<td>2.197</td>
<td>3.038</td>
<td>3.346</td>
<td>3.015</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>1.742</td>
<td>1.285</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>1.138</td>
<td>1.587</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>0.752</td>
<td>1.104</td>
<td>1.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1.146</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3.321</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
<td>1.183</td>
<td>3.543</td>
<td>2.632</td>
<td>-0.434</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.552</td>
<td>1.688</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>183.152</td>
<td>188.5</td>
<td>147.3</td>
<td>161.5</td>
<td>148.9</td>
<td>164.3</td>
<td>154.7</td>
<td>159.2</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.569</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.353</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>1.989</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>1.456</td>
<td>1.396</td>
<td>1.435</td>
<td>3.019</td>
<td>1.896</td>
<td>1.602</td>
<td>1.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>1.885</td>
<td>1.872</td>
<td>2.587</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>1.781</td>
<td>1.486</td>
<td>0.404</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>1.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>0.684</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.807</td>
<td>0.914</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td>0.697</td>
<td>0.698</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>0.731</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>3.119</td>
<td>2.423</td>
<td>2.403</td>
<td>4.798</td>
<td>2.487</td>
<td>2.506</td>
<td>2.124</td>
<td>2.102</td>
<td>2.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>276.992</td>
<td>283.6</td>
<td>278.9</td>
<td>200.8</td>
<td>356.4</td>
<td>185.2</td>
<td>204.9</td>
<td>185.6</td>
<td>263.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.413</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.591</td>
<td>0.508</td>
<td>3.251</td>
<td>0.189</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Abbreviations:** Calcium (Ca), Titanium (Ti), Potassium (K), Magnesium (Mg), Aluminium (Al), Iron (Fe), Bismuth (Bi), Mercury (Hg), Silver (Ag), Boron (B), Barium (Ba), Selenium (Se), Cadmium (Cd), Cobalt (Co), Chromium (Cr), Copper (Cu), Gallium (Ga), Lithium (Li), Manganese (Mn), Nickel (Ni), Lead (Pb), Strontium (Sr), Zinc (Zn), Sulfur (S) and Molybdenum (Mo).
Fig. 3. EDS analysis of A. Bacillus, B. Bacillus +Ti, C. Bacillus +V, D. Bacillus +Co, E. Bacillus +Ni, F. Bacillus +Se, G. Bacillus +Mo, H. Bacillus +B.
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Fig. 3. EDS analysis of A. Bacillus, B. Bacillus +Ti, C. Bacillus +V, D. Bacillus +Co, E. Bacillus +Ni, F. Bacillus +Se, G. Bacillus +Mo, H. Bacillus +B.
Fig. 4. Alterations in bacterial growth in the presence or absence of trace elements and the subsequent alterations in phosphorus, total nitrogen and organic matter concentrations in bacterial growth media. B. *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens*, Titanium (Ti), Vanadium (V), Cobalt (Co), Nickel (Ni), Selenium (Se), Molybdenum (Mo) and Boron (B).

Fig. 5. Alterations in elements accumulation (μg g⁻¹) in *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* and *Escherichia coli* medium. Calcium (Ca), Titanium (Ti), Potassium (K), Magnesium (Mg), Aluminium (Al), Iron (Fe), Bismuth (Bi), Mercury (Hg), Silver (Ag), Boron (B), Barium (Ba), Selenium (Se), Cadmium (Cd), Cobalt (Co), Chromium (Cr), Copper (Cu), Gallium (Ga), Lithium (Li), Manganese (Mn), Nickel (Ni), Lead (Pb), Strontium (Sr), Zinc (Zn), Sulfur (S) and Molybdenum (Mo).
Fig. 6. A hypothesis for the elemental determination in case of original nuclei or that originated from nuclear adhesion or nuclear fusion.

In case of nuclear adhesion process, it is noted that the nuclei of two or more atoms adhere to the same structure with each other without merging. Then, electronic clouds merging around it to envelop them in one electronic cloud. In this case, the new generated element will be different than the original ones. Therefore, it will not be detected during analysis and the concentration of the original element will be reduced. This element can be called the compound element or the complicated element, which has the same number of protons and neutrons, a mega nucleus generated from the adhesion of two or more nuclei and electrons orbiting naturally. Although this new element will be partially similar to the original one, it is completely different in its characters and effects on the live cells. Based on this theory, the live cell (microbial, plant and animal cells) can synthesis its elemental needs through nuclear adhesion in a similar way to the nuclear reactor. As mentioned before, these elements cannot be determined during elemental analysis. This theory can interpret the potentiality of organisms to complete its life cycle with limited macro- and micro-elements (not more than 16 elements) and can synthesize its elemental needs through nuclear adhesion by the nuclear reactor inside the live cells (cell reactor).

To approximate the idea of forming adherent nuclei in atoms can be described as it happens in homo-karyocyte. Whereas, a protoplast is a plant, bacterial or fungal cell without completely cell wall. The protoplast is an excellent tool for the synthesis of novel combination of genes and it is an important component of the overall process needed to manipulate the genes of plants. In plant for example, to produce a new somatic hybridisation, two distinct genetically derived protoplasts from distinct somatic cells are fused. The fusion of two cytoplasms results in cytoplasm coalescence. Even after cytoplasm fusion the nuclei of two protoplasts may or may not fuse together. The cells are known as hybrid, once nuclei are fused. Whereas only cytoplasm fuse and genetic information is lost from one of the two nuclei is called cybrid (cytoplasmic hybrid) (Miller et al., 1971; Motoyoshi, 1971; Withers and Cocking, 1972; Woodcock, 1973; Brar et al., 1979). Maybe the same behaviors occur in all living cells for generation of new elements by either nuclear fusion or forming adherent nuclei surrounded by electrons.

Additionally, we can understand the behavior of an element in its different states as the element in its ordinary state is different than its nano or pico states. Nano or pico states can be generated through the live cells where the element can be transformed from a toxic element to a beneficial element. Consequently, it can be stated that the element in its ordinary form is different than its other states, which generated by nuclear fusion, nuclear adhesion (Fig. 6) and nuclear fission (Fig. 7) although the molecular and atomic weight are similar. Certainly, the cell is able to differentiate among these states and can use the element in its suitable form/state. We can also interpret the beneficial effect of bio-fertilization by fungi and algae as the bio-generated derivatives are suitable to the needs of plant and animal cells.

**Nuclear Fission**

*Fig. 7. Illustration of the nuclear fission*
Characteristics of these elements, which generated by the proposed theories, are beneficial to plants or animals due to their high availability/accessibility into the live cells. This is why the most of bio-products are more effective relative to other industrial products, which synthesized by physical or chemical methods. This high ability of microorganisms to provide the live cells with its elemental needs after modification inside the bio reactors using nuclear fusion, nuclear fission and nuclear adhesion can interpret why microorganism occupy the total environmental system including atmosphere, hydrosphere, rhizophore and biosphere. This theory can clarify the generation of new elements according to the cell requirements under the catalytic effect of some elements and other ambient factors such as; temperature, humidity, lighting, etc. in a similar way to the bioreactor (cell reactor).

The synthetic genomics and biology aims to model and construct new organisms, biological components and functions which do not exist in nature to meet human needs; or or redesigning existing biological systems for new functionality (Konig et al., 2013). Bloom (2017) identified the usual complex mixtures of chemicals extracted from plants or fruit as natural flavours. There will always be a predominant chemical taste, along with tens to hundreds of other ingredients. This complex mixture provides a deeper, more complex taste to natural extracts. Synthetic flavours generally have only a small number of the same flavor chemicals in the natural extract – mostly one, but the others are missing, so the flavours of the complex mixture can not be duplicated precisely. Thus, while someone who tastes an artificially flavored food can identify the main taste, it can seem tasteless or taste like “there’s something missing”.

Comparison of synthetic and naturally occurring drugs with particular focus on the nature of the side effects associated with both groups. New drugs for the treatment of complicated diseases are being developed but these drugs are associated with a number of side effects ranging from minor to severe intensity. By contrast, the drugs from nature seem more effective than the synthetic drugs (Nisar et al. 2018).

Finally, it should be noted that scientists could not synthesize chlorophyll and other compounds, which generated in plant cells although its chemical structure is well known by scientists. For example, the central atom of chlorophyll (magnesium) could be generated using nuclear fusion, nuclear fission and nuclear adhesion in a specific system (ratio and arrangements). This system is well known by the cell but it is still unknown by scientists.

Conclusions

There is no doubt and certainty that depends on it in all sciences of the universe is the law of survival, the survival of matter, energy, mass, etc. What we all recognize and believe is nothing that was found out of whether or not destined for nonexistence, but anything that can transform from or to and does not disappear. Science has proven that there is a shift of the element from one element to another through fission or nuclear fusion through nuclear reactors, and there is no doubt that there is an unprecedented development in the various branches of physics and chemistry, whether classic or modern or quantum. Also, the reactors did not stop on this, but rather evolved in recent times to include biological reactors that would produce materials by living organisms that are difficult to produce industrially. Hence the idea of research came through which we try to prove that a living cell has the ability to transform elements from one element to another and that this action needs on living cells and this action we called it a cell reactor. Until we get to this idea, bacterial cells were used as living cells to speed their growth and the speed of the results that can be proven. An experiment was conducted on two types of bacteria and the medium in which they intend to change was added by adding elements that would stimulate or inhibit growth. Through the results obtained, it was found that there were elements that increased in the growth medium and other elements decreased, and that there were some elements that disappeared and other elements that appeared that were not present in the medium. This means that some elements have been transformed into other elements, which made them increase, decrease, fade or appear. This result was not only what we reached, but it appeared that the sum of the elements in the different environments changed significantly, despite the fact that they did not disappear as the law stipulates the survival of the material. By analyzing it, identifying it despite its existence is what we call it nuclear adhesion, where the nuclei of atoms are attached to elements in the form of a cluster and not fused, and they are surrounded by electrons that are equivalent to the charge. These elements are produced only inside the cellular.
reactor, that is, in the presence of the living cell. Perhaps these conclusions explain many of the phenomena without which it would have been impossible to reach a logical explanation. Regardless the aforementioned theories (nuclear fusion and nuclear fission), we hypothesize that there is another theory for elements creation through nuclear adhesion. In this theory, two or more nuclei are stacked together and surrounded by electrons to neutralize the whole protons inside nuclei. This theory can be occurred only inside the live cells, which are able to make the nuclear adhesion in the presence of auxiliary factors such as trace elements and environmental conditions (heat, light, humidity, etc.).
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